

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/568,667	02/16/2006	Philippe Garreau	REGIM33082	9637	
530	7590 12/12/2007 VID, LITTENBERG,		EXAMINER		
KRUMHOLZ	& MENTLIK		DOLE, TIMOTHY J		
600 SOUTH A WESTFIELD,	VENUE WEST NI 07090		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
WESTI IEED,	110 07020		2858		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	_
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/568,667	GARREAU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy J. Dole	2858	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNIC, 136(a). In no event, however, may a repwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT e, cause the application to become ABA	ATION. bly be timely filed HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 h	lovember 2007.		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	•	• •	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims	•		
4) Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s)is/are objected to.		•	
7) Claim(s)is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.	,	
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 26 February 2007 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	r priority amount of the creating		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.	•	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen		•	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		eceived in this National Stage	
application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	oggived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies not be	sceivea.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🖂 Intonio C.	immary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application 	

10/568,667 Art Unit: 2858

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 20, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1 and 4-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishihara et al. (US 5,134,405) in view of Kuth (US 5,514,833).

Referring to claim 1, Ishihara et al. discloses an apparatus for studying the electromagnetic behavior of an electromagnetic wave-emitting or electromagnetic wave-receiving tool, said apparatus comprising: an anechoic chamber (fig. 1 (10)) configured to receive the tool (fig. 1 (16)) as well as a person handling the tool (column 4, lines 37-39); at least one analysis antenna (fig. 1 (18)) configured to pick-up the electromagnetic waves emitted from or received by the tool (column 4, lines 25-32); means (fig.1 (20))

Application/Control Number:

10/568,667 Art Unit: 2858

for processing signals outputted by said at least one analysis antenna to form a video display signal (column 4, lines 25-35); and means (fig. 1 (21) and (22)) for displaying a radiation diagram associated with the tool based on the video display signal (column 4, lines 32-35), said means for displaying the radiation diagram being disposed inside the anechoic chamber to enable the person handling the tool to observe how the handling of the tool affects its electromagnetic behavior (column 3, lines 10-17, column 4, lines 35-

Ishihara et al. does not disclose said means for displaying the radiation diagram including a display screen disposed inside the anechoic chamber.

39, and column 5, line 63 – column 6, line 7).

Kuth discloses a shielded chamber (2) including a display screen (12) disposed inside the chamber (column 3, lines 16-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the display screen of Kuth into the apparatus of Ishihara et al. for the purpose of enabling a person (18) to view the display screen while inside the chamber while not disrupting the fields generates by apparatus 4 (column 2, lines 58-66).

Referring to claim 4, Ishihara et al. discloses the apparatus as claimed except wherein said display screen is placed on an inside wall of said anechoic chamber.

Kuth discloses the display screen is placed on an inside wall of said anechoic chamber (column 3, lines 16-17).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the display screen of Kuth into the apparatus of Ishihara et al. for

Art Unit: 2858

the purpose of enabling a person (18) to view the display screen while inside the chamber while not disrupting the fields generates by apparatus 4 (column 2, lines 58-66).

Referring to claim 5, Ishihara et al. discloses the apparatus as claimed except wherein said means for displaying the radiation diagram of the tool includes viewing goggles wearable by the person handling the tool or by another person, located in said anechoic chamber. It should be noted that wearing goggles is standard safety practice for all personnel involved in any kind of testing environment.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the wearing of goggles into the apparatus of Ishihara et al. for the purpose of providing protection to the personnel involved in the testing process.

Referring to claims 6 and 8, Ishihara et al. discloses the apparatus as claimed except wherein said display screen includes a monitor screen or an optical projection screen and has a viewing surface substantially aligned with the plane of one of the walls of said anechoic chamber.

Kuth discloses the display screen includes a monitor screen or an optical projection screen (12) and has a viewing surface substantially aligned with the plane of one of the walls of said anechoic chamber (figure).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the optical projection screen of Kuth into the apparatus of Ishihara et al. for the purpose of enabling a person (18) to view the display screen while inside the chamber while not disrupting the fields generates by apparatus 4 (column 2, lines 58-66).

10/568,667

Art Unit: 2858

Referring to claim 7, Ishihara et al. discloses the apparatus as claimed except wherein said display screen is a liquid crystal display screen or a plasma monitor display screen. It should be noted that while Ishihara et al. and Kuth disclose a monitor for displaying data, the state of the art with respect to monitors and displays shows that monitors can take the form of any of the following: liquid crystal displays, plasma monitors, and projection screens.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the various available monitors into the apparatus of Ishihara et al. for the purpose of providing a clear, reliable display for viewing data.

Referring to claim 9, Ishihara et al. discloses the apparatus as claimed, further comprising a seat (fig. 2 (15)) configured to accommodate the person handling the tool (fig. 2) and a support (fig. 2 (15)) for supporting an arm of the person, said seat being adjustable (fig. 2) to allow repositioning of the body of the person at a given body position and said support being adjustable (fig. 2) to allow repositioning of the arm at given arm position relative to the body position to allow successive use of a telephone held at the given arm position while substantially avoiding changes to the body position. It should be noted that the table of figure 2 is able to support both a person and a person's arm and is adjustable since the table may be moved or turned to any desired position in the chamber.

4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishihara et al. (applied above) in view of Kuth (applied above) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of McKivergan (US 6,329,953).

Application/Control Number:

10/568,667 Art Unit: 2858

Referring to claim 2, Ishihara et al. as modified discloses the apparatus as claimed except wherein said at least one analysis antenna includes a plurality of analysis antennae that encircle the tool.

McKivergan discloses an anechoic chamber (fig. 6 (16)) that includes a plurality of analysis antennae (fig. 6 (15)) that encircle the tool (fig. 6 (2)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the plurality of analysis antennae of McKivergan into the apparatus of Ishihara et al. as modified for the purpose of providing a more complete analysis of the tool.

Referring to claim 3, Ishihara et al. as modified discloses the apparatus as claimed except for means for automatically producing a relative rotation between said plurality of test antennae and the tool about an axis of rotation that is substantially a diameter of a circle formed by said plurality of analysis antenna.

McKivergan discloses means (fig. 6 (3), (5) and (6)) for automatically producing a relative rotation (fig. 6 (Rotation)) between said plurality of analysis antennae and the tool (fig. 6) about an axis of rotation that is substantially a diameter of a circle formed by said plurality of analysis antenna (fig. 6).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the rotation means of McKivergan into the apparatus of Ishihara et al. as modified for the purpose of providing a more complete analysis of the electromagnetic tool.

10/568,667 Art Unit: 2858

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy J. Dole whose telephone number is (571) 272-2229. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. from 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Timothy J. Dole

グアル